
Ethical Self-Disclosing Voice User Interfaces for Delivery of News

Shruti Rao

Centrum Wiskunde &
Informatica (CWI)
Shruti.Rao@cwi.nl

Valeria Resendez

University of Amsterdam
v.d.c.resendezgomez@uva.nl

Abdallah el Ali

Centrum Wiskunde &
Informatica (CWI)
abdallah.el.ali@cwi.nl

Pablo Cesar

Centrum Wiskunde &
Informatica (CWI)
Delft University of Technology
P.S.Cesar@cwi.nl

Abstract

Voice User Interfaces (VUIs) such as Alexa and Google Home that use human-like design cues are an increasingly popular means for accessing news. Self-disclosure in particular may be used to build relationships of trust with users who may reveal intimate details about themselves. This information can be (mis)used by algorithms to tailor and deliver partisan, critical news at the cost of journalistic ethics. In this position paper, we argue that self-disclosing VUIs may be beneficial to build trust with users and deliver news. We explain how a self-disclosing relationship may not only be a step towards human-like voice assistants but also aid in acceptance of, and exposure to different news events. We caution against obvious pitfalls from both a system and journalistic perspective and provide measures to address such concerns.

Author Keywords

Voice User Interfaces; News; Self-Disclosure; Ethics.

CCS Concepts

•**Human-centered computing** → **Human computer interaction (HCI)**; Natural language interfaces; *Interaction paradigms*;

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

ACM.




Figure 1: Excerpt of a hypothetical conversation between a self-disclosing VUI (highlighted in blue) and a user (highlighted in grey) during news delivery. The self-disclosed user information (user cares about role of information in society) is used to the advantage of the organisation behind the VUI.

Introduction

Ubiquitous Voice User Interfaces (VUIs) in the form of in-home assistants such as Google Home, or Alexa have become a household name. Given that VUIs interact with users to provide information and assistance with daily activities [12, 16], it is no surprise that by 2024, there will be reported 8.4 billion units of VUIs in the global market [18].

Much of the popularity and trust for VUIs stems from their anthropomorphic characteristics that extend to self-disclosure whereby systems establish a relationship with users by revealing information about themselves and creating a cycle of reciprocity that often becomes more intimate over time [8]. These relationships thereafter may be (mis)used by VUIs, or the institutions behind them, particularly during more critical interactions such as delivery of, and conversing about news. For instance, self-disclosing VUIs can tailor news delivery by inferring and minimising users' innate tendency for selective exposure to information [13]. By developing a relationship of trust and retrieving personal cues during human-system interactions, VUIs may actively encourage users' serendipitous exposure to diverse content [19, 5]. On the other hand, self-disclosure can also represent a privacy and ethical risk for the user who may unintentionally reveal their ideologies, augmenting their potential for being exposed to partisan content [17]. Given the increased trust in VUIs built through self-disclosure, users can accept such news without verifying the source of the information. As a consequence, misinformation can be easily spread through the channel.

In this position paper, we posit that VUIs that are designed with self-disclosure cues will build trust with users and deliver news in a more effective and receptive environment. And while such design may aid in the development of more human-like interactions, we address the journalistic impact

of such design and propose guidelines for more ethical delivery of news using self-disclosing VUIs.

We first compare news access via traditional media, such as radio, with news delivery using VUIs. Next, we highlight the potential impact, both positive and negative of self-disclosing VUIs from perspectives of system and ethics in journalism. Given the inevitable growth in popularity of human-like VUIs, we postulate and propose solutions to address potential issues, such that self-disclosing VUIs may be used in a more socio-scientifically aware context.

Traditional Media vs Conversational User Interfaces

Since the adoption of social media and news aggregators (e.g. Facebook, Google, Siri) as additional sources for news consumption, a lot has changed in the media environment. Traditional autonomy and professional values that characterised journalism, is now impacted by algorithms and the institutions behind them [7]. The consumption of news through traditional media, such as radio or television, involved typically watching or hearing journalists behind a desk, speaking about news events. This allowed users to develop a bond with individual newscasters who presented information in particular form and manner. However, this interaction was unilateral since users could only receive information.

Modern media channels such as chatbots and voice assistants have modified how users interact with news items by providing customised news with levels of interactivity [10]. VUIs in particular are being designed with superior voice capabilities and greater degrees of human characteristics, particularly to promote human-system self-disclosure [11]. In this reciprocal process, the system shares information about itself, such as characteristics of its built-in personality,

encouraging users to reveal information about themselves to various degrees [10]. Altogether, these features enhance news delivery by making it an interactive experience for the user. Currently, VUIs like Alexa not only summarise headlines, but also convey extended information about news items, answer followup questions, and recommend content based on user requests [11]. Simultaneously, such conversing systems have changed the media landscape by narrowing the lines between medium and source, since VUIs are no longer devices, but communication entities that interact with the individual [9].

Therefore, for this position paper we will focus on the ethical implications of news delivery through self-disclosing VUIs - an aspect that without consideration may signify a major societal impact for the media ecosystem [17].

Critique of Self-Disclosing VUIs for News

The manifestation of voice-based assistants has significantly enhanced users perception of Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a socially present entity [3]. Using such constructs for news delivery, we discuss a new paradigm of human-agent interaction that has positive and negative impacts from the system and media standpoint.

Human-human interactions are complex, multifaceted, and often with hidden intent that conversing parties try to determine. The success of the conversation and relationship depends on the degree to which the true intent is understood and conversational goals are met [21]. This conversational satisfaction is very challenging to achieve in the case of human-system interactions [1]. However, much like human interactions, self-disclosure can be used to initiate small talk which may reveal useful information about the user such as their background, preferences, and state of mind [14]. Further, most news delivery errors by VUIs are due to fail-

ure of conversation grounding, whereby interlocutors (user and system) fail to establish mutual understandings of their knowledge and intents [4]. Thus, the self-disclosed information can be used by the VUIs to better understand the user's intent and deliver relevant news [6]. Self-disclosing VUIs may also serve interests of media by providing an interactive way to consume information, reduce selective exposure to specific content and connect with news organisations [13].

Alternatively, all of the above could also be potential pitfalls of self-disclosing VUIs. User information gathered and stored during self-disclosure may be seemingly harmless, but when taken out of context, may serve ill intent. News delivery through voice-based assistants may add challenges to the media ecology. First, a user's self-disclosed information may prevent exposure to diverse news content [19]. Second, individuals disclosing partisan information can also be targeted based in their political preferences [17]. Third, self-disclosed user information will inevitably lead to creation of users emotional profiles, the presence of which the user may not be fully aware of [17]. Therefore intimate information of the user, combined with the user-system relationship of trust can enhance potential user manipulation by serving (mis)information or extreme partisan content in ways that are accepted by the individual [17].

Suggestions for Self-Disclosing VUIs During News Delivery

For progressive acceptance of VUIs as news delivery mediums, we believe in suggesting techniques to not only address challenges of human-system news interactions but also highlight the advantages of human-system interactions. We find that much of the critique of news delivery through VUIs fall into two main themes: (1) gathering highly user-specific data and (2) the impact on news.

Given our critique of self-disclosing VUIs, we find that creating user awareness of self-disclosure is a key system requirement. Users could be encouraged to partake in self-disclosing conversations about news with VUIs through evidence of improved human-system communications. This could be in the form of reporting metrics such as engagement with news, and time spent conversing about news or summary of user's knowledge development in the form of diverse news topics accessed and information learned. Simultaneously, to address the pitfalls of self-disclosure, we suggest the employment of active or passive forms of indication of self-disclosure by the VUIs. These could be before, during, or after the conversation about a news topic. Active disclosure could be done verbally as a reminder, or passively in the form of visual cues on the physical device. Users should be able to see a conversation log to trace what was said with the ability to change or redact any information that was provided. In line with privacy controls on the internet, we also propose allowing control over level of self-disclosure that the users can set for maintaining a comfortable level of interaction.

Our critique of self-disclosing VUIs revealed significant threats to media and journalism that need to be considered. Self-disclosing VUIs should be limited to fact-checked news and information, particularly under hard news topics like business and politics that are considered socially critical [15]. In addition, since using self-disclosing VUIs can amplify trust and enhance the acceptance of the information provided to the user, we suggest the institutions behind VUIs disclose the sources from where the information gets retrieved, enhancing transparency, and encouraging the control over information flows [20].

Conclusion

The growing popularity of Voice User Interfaces (VUIs) as a news delivery agent re-positions human interactions with news from a static (listening to the news on the television, reading on the websites) to a more dynamic event of conversations with the VUIs. It also re-configures the media landscape by creating devices that are communication entities, and to some extent opinion leaders [9].

In this position paper we question the implications of self-disclosure in VUIs when delivering and conversing about the news. We especially wish to bring to light, the impact of such communication on the ethics of media and journalism. We argue that self-disclosing VUIs may be able to build open channels of communications with their users. Furthermore, they may be able to use these open lines of communication to deliver and converse about news items in a more human-like manner. However, to take on such a dynamic role, VUIs will have to be designed with increasingly human-esque levels of self-disclosure [2]. At the same time, organisations developing VUIs should consider the implications of such entities when delivering information. Such a design must not be made without consideration of data, the complex human-agent relationship and role of media during such human-system discourse. In this regard, we encourage transparency through a documented process of the development and distribution of news and information.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eleni Adamopoulou and Lefteris Moussiades. 2020. Chatbots: History, technology, and applications. *Machine Learning with Applications 2* (2020), 100006.
- [2] Emna Chérif and Jean-François Lemoine. 2019. Anthropomorphic virtual assistants and the reactions of Internet users: An experiment on the assistant's voice. *Recherche et Applications en Marketing*

- (*English Edition*) 34, 1 (2019), 28–47.
- [3] Eugene Cho. 2019. Hey Google, can I ask you something in private?. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–9.
- [4] Janghee Cho and Emilee Rader. 2020. The role of conversational grounding in supporting symbiosis between people and digital assistants. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 4, CSCW1 (2020), 1–28.
- [5] Henry K Dambanemuya and Nicholas Diakopoulos. 2020. “Alexa, what is going on with the impeachment?” Evaluating smart speakers for news quality. In *Proc. Computation+ Journalism Symposium*.
- [6] Henry Kudzanai Dambanemuya and Nicholas Diakopoulos. 2021. Auditing the Information Quality of News-Related Queries on the Alexa Voice Assistant. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (2021), 1–21.
- [7] Nicholas Diakopoulos. 2019. *Automating the news*. Harvard University Press.
- [8] Jonas Foehr and Claas Christian Germelmann. 2020. Alexa, can I trust you? Exploring consumer paths to trust in smart voice-interaction technologies. *Journal of the Association for Consumer Research* 5, 2 (2020), 181–205.
- [9] Andrea L Guzman. 2020. Ontological boundaries between humans and computers and the implications for human-machine communication. *Human-Machine Communication* 1 (2020), 37–54.
- [10] Kai-Hui Liang, Weiyan Shi, Yoojung Oh, Jingwen Zhang, and Zhou Yu. 2021. Discovering Chatbot’s Self-Disclosure’s Impact on User Trust, Affinity, and Recommendation Effectiveness. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.01666* (2021).
- [11] Irene Lopatovska, Katrina Rink, Ian Knight, Kieran Raines, Kevin Cosenza, Harriet Williams, Perachya Sorsche, David Hirsch, Qi Li, and Adrianna Martinez. 2019. Talk to me: Exploring user interactions with the Amazon Alexa. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science* 51, 4 (2019), 984–997.
- [12] Graeme McLean and Kofi Osei-Frimpong. 2019. Hey Alexa ... examine the variables influencing the use of artificial intelligent in-home voice assistants. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 99 (2019), 28–37.
- [13] Solomon Messing and Sean J Westwood. 2014. Selective exposure in the age of social media: Endorsements trump partisan source affiliation when selecting news online. *Communication research* 41, 8 (2014), 1042–1063.
- [14] Chelsea M Myers, Anushay Furqan, and Jichen Zhu. 2019. The impact of user characteristics and preferences on performance with an unfamiliar voice user interface. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–9.
- [15] Carsten Reinemann, James Stanyer, Sebastian Scherr, and Guido Legnante. 2012. Hard and soft news: A review of concepts, operationalizations and key findings. *Journalism* 13, 2 (2012), 221–239.
- [16] Alain D Starke and Minha Lee. 2021. Voicing concerns: User-specific pitfalls of favoring voice over text in conversational recommender systems. In *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, Vol. 2960.

- [17] Joseph Turow. 2021. *The Voice Catchers*. Yale University Press.
- [18] Lionel Sujay Vailshery. 2021. Number of digital voice assistants in use worldwide 2019–2024. (2021).
- [19] Peter Van Aelst, Jesper Strömbäck, Toril Aalberg, Frank Esser, Claes De Vreese, Jörg Matthes, David Hopmann, Susana Salgado, Nicolas Hubé, Agnieszka Stępińska, and others. 2017. Political communication in a high-choice media environment: a challenge for democracy? *Annals of the International Communication Association* 41 (2017).
- [20] Weidmüller, Etzrodt Katrin Lisa, Löcherbach Felicia, Möller Judith, and Engesser Sven. 2021. ICH HÖRE WAS, WAS DU NICHT HÖRST. (2021).
- [21] Lawrence R Wheelless and Janis Grotz. 1977. The measurement of trust and its relationship to self-disclosure. *Human Communication Research* 3, 3 (1977), 250–257.